

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/046,095	DEGUCHI, MASARU
	Examiner Phuongchi Nguyen	Art Unit 2833

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Phuongchi Nguyen.

(3) Mr. Timothy P. Cremen

(2) Ms Paula Bradley

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3/24/04

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 8 and 13

Identification of prior art discussed: Hirzmann (US 6535394 B1)

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See attachment 1

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

attachment 1:

Applicant will propose language to claim 1 to more clearly emphasize the relationship between the grounding member, spacer and the compensating member for further consideration.



Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.